Before the

MAHARASHTRA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION World Trade Centre, Centre No.1, 13th Floor, Cuffe Parade, Mumbai 400005 Tel. 022 22163964/65/69 Fax 22163976

Email: mercindia@merc.gov.in

Website: www.mercindia.org.in / www. merc.gov.in

Case No. 59 of 2016

Dated: 3 November, 2016

CORAM: Shri Azeez M. Khan, Member Shri Deepak Lad, Member

In the matter of Petition filed by M/s. Amtek Auto Limited under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for revision of technical sanction with reference to its application for reduction in Contract Demand.

M/s. Amtek Auto Limited (AAL)Petitioner

V/s.

Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd. (MSEDCL)Respondent

Appearance:

Representative for the Petitioner: Shri. Suresh Sancheti

Representative for the Respondent: Shri K.N. Sadakale, Superintending Engineer

Shri. Ramesh G. Malame

Authorized Consumer Representative: Dr. Ashok Pendse

Daily Order

Representative of the Petitioner stated that AAL applied to MSEDCL for reduction in Contract Demand from 2500 kVA to 1600 kVA on 17 August, 2015. MSEDCL sanctioned the reduction in Contract Demand but asked the Petitioner to bear the cost for additional check Meter and Energy Audit Meter at EHV Substation. However, the work of installation of additional check Meter and Energy Audit Meter at EHV Substation is beyond the scope of works to be executed or borne by the Consumer.

Representative of MSEDCL stated that it has informed the Petitioner that the material is not available with MSEDCL. Hence, MSEDCL requested the Consumer to carry out the works. The cost of such works would be reimbursed through energy bills.

Upon query of the Commission as to why it has not approached the CGRF, the Petitioner submitted that it had filed the Petition to sensitize Commission on the issue and to highlight non compliance of Electricity Act, 2003 by MSEDCL.

The Case is reserved for Order.

Sd/-(Deepak Lad) Member Sd/-(Azeez M. Khan) Member